

RFP W911QY-

PERFORMANCE RISK EVALUATION FORM SECTION 1

Section 1, Contract Identification, is to be completed by the Offeror. The Government POCs will complete the Performance Risk Evaluation, Section 2.

Specific instructions for completing Section 1 are as follows

- A. Contractor - Self-explanatory
- B. Contract Number - Identify the Prime contract Number and the Subcontract Number, as applicable
- C. Contract Type - Identify the Prime or Subcontract type, as appropriate (i.e., Firm Fixed Price, Cost Reimbursement, Cost-Plus-Fixed-Fee, Cost-Plus-Award-Fee, Time and Materials, etc.)
- D. Period of Performance - Self-explanatory
- E. Initial Contract Value - Self-explanatory
- F. Current Contract Value - Provide the current value for an ongoing contract, or the final value for a completed contract
- G. Technical and Contractual POC - Identify the government technical and contractual POC
- H. Self-explanatory
- I. Self-explanatory
- J. Self-explanatory
- K. Self-explanatory
- L. Self-explanatory

PERFORMANCE RISK QUESTIONNAIRE SECTION 1

1. CONTRACT IDENTIFICATION

a. Contractor

b. Contract Number

c. Contract type

Competitive	Yes	No
Follow-on	Yes	No

d. Period of performance "....."itqo <" "....."vq<

Estimated cost or Firm fixed price	Fee	Total Value
---------------------------------------	-----	----------------

e. Initial contract value

f. Current contract value

(Explain any difference between the initial and current/final contract value: change in SOW, schedule extension, cost growth, overrun, etc.)

g. Technical and Contractual POC (name/address/phone/email address)

h. Type and extent of subcontracting

- i. Product description and/or service provided

- j. Number of organizations/locations serviced by this contract

- k. Has this contract been partially or completely terminated for default or convenience?
Yes No Default Convenience
If yes, explain (e.g., inability to meet cost, performance, or delivery schedules).

- l. Are there any pending terminations?
Yes No
If yes, explain and indicate the status.

PERFORMANCE RISK EVALUATION FORM SECTION 2
(To be filled out by Government POC)

- 2. Evaluation
 - #1. How effective has the contractor been in identifying user requirements?
Extremely effective 4
Highly effective 3
Adequately effective 2
Ineffective 1

Comment:

#2. To what extent does/did the contractor provide timely technical assistance, both on-site and off-site?

Considerably surpassed minimum requirements	4
Generally exceeded minimum requirements	3
Met minimum requirements	2
Less than minimum requirements	1

Comment

#3. To what extent does/did the contractor demonstrate initiative to identify and solve technical problems without Government direction?

Considerably surpassed minimum requirements	4
Generally exceeded minimum requirements	3
Met minimum requirements	2
Less than minimum requirements	1

Comment:

#4. To what extent does/did the contractor demonstrate innovation in technical problem resolution?

Considerably surpassed minimum requirements	4
Generally exceeded minimum requirements	3
Met minimum requirements	2
Less than minimum requirements	1

Comment:

#5. How qualified are/were contractor personnel (technical)?

Extremely qualified	4
Highly qualified	3
Adequately qualified	2
Technically deficient	1

Comment:

#6. To what extent does/did the contractor adhere to contract/task order delivery schedules?

Considerably surpassed minimum requirements	4
Generally exceeded minimum requirements	3
Met minimum requirements	2
Less than minimum requirements	1

Comment:

#7. To what extent does/did the contractor submit accurate required reports and documentation in a timely manner?

Considerably surpassed minimum requirements	4
Generally exceeded minimum requirements	3
Met minimum requirements	2
Less than minimum requirements	1

Comment:

#8. Does/Did the contractor commit adequate resources, in a timely fashion, to the contract to meet the requirement (technical, management, and contractual) and to successfully solve problems?

Provided abundant resources	4
Provided sufficient resources	3
Provided adequate resources	2
Provided insufficient resources	1

Comment:

#9. To what extent does/did the contractor respond positively and promptly to technical directions, contract change orders, etc.?

Considerably surpassed minimum requirements	4
Generally exceeded minimum requirements	3
Met minimum requirements	2
Less than minimum requirements	1

Comment:

#10. To what extent is/was the contractor effective in interfacing with Government and other contractor personnel?

Extremely effective	4
Highly effective	3
Adequately effective	2
Ineffective	1

Comment:

#11. To what extent does/did the contractor coordinate, integrate, and provide for effective subcontractor management?

Considerably surpassed minimum requirements	4
Generally exceeded minimum requirements	3
Met minimum requirements	2
Less than minimum requirements	1

Comment:

#12. How qualified are/were contractor personnel (management)?

Extremely qualified	4
Highly qualified	3
Adequately qualified	2
Deficient	1

Comment:

#13. How well did the contractor proactively manage cost/schedule/performance and risks?

Extremely qualified	4
Highly qualified	3
Adequately qualified	2
Deficient	1

Comment:

#14. How well did the contractor perform systems integration?

Extremely qualified	4
Highly qualified	3
Adequately qualified	2
Deficient	1

Comment:

#15. Identify the contractor's overall strengths and weaknesses.

#16. Would you select this firm again?

Yes

No

Please explain.

NARRATIVE SUMMARY

Use this section to explain additional information not included above.

EVALUATED BY

A. Organization:

B. Name and Title:

Signature:

Date:

Please use this space to expand on any response that does not fit in the available space .